



NUMBER OF RECEIVED AND ADJUDICATED _______ INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS BY YEARS

Table 1


MATASA MAHICHE	RECEIVED APPLICATIONS*	RATIO	ADJUDICATED APPLICATIONS	RATIO	TOTAL RATIO (**) (***)
2012	1.342	%0,3	4	%0	%O
2013	9.897	%2,1	4.924	%1,3	%50
2014	20.578	%4,4	10.926	%2,9	%53
2015	20.376	%4,3	15.368	%4,1	%75
2016	80.756	%17,1	16.089	%4,3	%20
2017	40.530	%8,6	89.651	%23,9	%221
2018	38.186	%8,1	35.357	%9,4	%93
2019	42.971	%9,1	39.238	%10,5	%91
2020	40.402	%8,6	45.197	%12,1	%112
2021	66.121	%14	45.227	%12,1	%68
2022	109.779	%23,3	73.036	%19,5	%67
2023/1	21.305	%4,3	9.218	%2,4	%43
TOTAL	492.243		384.235		%78,1

^{*} There may be a slight decrease or increase, compared to the previous statistics, in the number of adjudicated applications as the file is closed in case of an inadmissibility decision on administrative grounds and reopened upon the acceptance of the challenge to the inadmissibility decision, or the pending cases are joined and/or separated.

^{**} The coverage ratio pertaining to the applications lodged in 2016, excluding those lodged within the scope of the state of emergency, is 85%

^{***} The coverage ratio in 2017, excluding 72,134 cases found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Commission for the Examination of the Proceedings under the State of Emergency, is 90%.

NUMBER OF PENDING **INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS***



APPLICATIONS: 492.243 PENDING APPLICATIONS: 108.008 RATIO: %21,9

^{*} Number of pending applications by years as of 31/3/2023





NUMBER OF RECEIVED AND ADJUDICATED INDIVIDUAL _____ Table 3 -APPLICATIONS REGARDING RIGHT TO A TRIAL WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME BY YEARS


	RECEIVED APPLICATIONS*	RATIO	ADJUDICATED APPLICATIONS	RATIO
2012	16	%0,0	0	%0
2013	561	%0,5	52	%0,1
2014	1.923	%1,9	295	%0,5
2015	488	%0,5	450	%0,8
2016	35	%0	744	%1,3
2017	2	%0	803	%1,4
2018	752	%0,7	544	%1,0
2019	3.113	%3	132	%0,2
2020	4.772	%4,6	3.817	%6,7
2021	22.442	%21,8	10.631	%18,8
2022	60.484	%58,6	35.865	%63,4
2023/1	8.574	%8,3	3.279	%5,8
TOTAL	103.162		56.612	





__ NUMBER OF PENDING INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS ___ REGARDING RIGHT TO A TRIAL WITHIN A REASONABLE*















PENDING APPLICATIONS: 46.550


*Number of pending individual applications regarding right to a trial within a reasonable time by 31 March 2023, which have been pending since the indicated years respectively.



T.C.

ADJUDICATED APPLICATIONS _______ BY JUDGMENT TYPE

(EXCLUDING THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME)



T.C.


RATIO OF VIOLATION JUDGMENTS —

Based on the adjudicated cases


Including cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**

384.235 Number of judgments finding a violation (Excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time/Including joined applications) Number of Adjudicated Applications* 69.436 %18.1

Excluding cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**



Based on the cases examined as to the merits



^{*} In the calculations excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, the number of cases nding a violation of the right to a trial within a reasonable time is extracted from the total number of adjudicated cases.

^{** 72.134} cases found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Commission for the Examination of the Proceedings under the State of Emergency.

RATIO OF VIOLATION JUDGMENTS __




(EXCLUDING THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME)


Based on the adjudicated cases

Including cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**


Excluding cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**



%4.0



Based on the cases examined as to the merits




^{*} In the calculations excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, the number of cases nding a violation of the right to a trial within a reasonable time is extracted from the total number of adjudicated cases.

^{** 72.134} cases found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Commission for the Examination of the Proceedings under the State of Emergency.



NUMBER OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS IN WHICH _____ Table 7A -AT LEAST ONE RIGHT WAS FOUND TO HAVE BEEN VIOLATED






____ NUMBER OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS IN WHICH ____ AT LEAST ONE RIGHT WAS FOUND TO HAVE BEEN VIOLATED

Table 7B -

(EXCLUDING THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME)




TOTAL: 13.101

– Table 8 –



NUMBER OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS ____ IN WHICH NO VIOLATION WAS FOUND*




TOTAL: 1.025

^{*} Cases which were found admissible but where no violation was found as a result of the examination on the merits.




NUMBER OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS ______ Table 9A ___ **EXAMINED ON THE MERITS**





NUMBER OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS ______ Table 9B ___ **EXAMINED ON THE MERITS**

(EXCLUDING THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME)





JUDGMENTS FINDING A VIOLATION ______ Table 10 _ BY RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS*

56.335 %80.0	Right to a trial within a reasonable time		
3.451 %4,9	Right to property		
3.335 %4,7	Freedom of expression		
3.246 %4,6	Right to a fair trial		
1.269 %1,8	Right to hold meetings and demonstration marches		
975 %1,4	Right to respect for private and family life	FQ fixin	
551 %0,8	Prohibition of ill-treatment		
344 %0,5	Right to an effective remedy		
286 %0,4	Right to personal liberty and security		
213 %0,3	Right to life		


TOTAL 70,441

* More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.

308	Prohibition of discrimination	140 %0,2
	Right to protect one's corporeal and spiritual existence	102 %0,1
/°6\ °6-°6	Freedom of organisation	74 %0,1
	Principle of legality in crimes and punishment	42 %0,1
	Right to education	22 %0,0
5	Presumption of Innocence	22 %0,0
2	Right to elect, to stand for election and to engage in political activities	12 %0,0
	Freedom of religion and conscience	10 %0,0
	Right to union	10 %0,0
(a)	Right to individual application	2 %0,0



NUMBER OF VIOLATION JUDGMENTS BY YEARS _____ Table 11A _ (BASED ON RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS)*




TOTAL: 70.441

^{*} More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.



NUMBER OF VIOLATION JUDGMENTS BY YEARS _____ Table 11B -(BASED ON RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS)*

(EXCLUDING THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME)



TOTAL: 14.106

^{*} More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.