Constitutional Court
of the Republic of Turkey

INDIVIDUAL APPLICATION STATISTICS

(23/9/2012 - 31/12/2019)

Contents

Table 1: Number of received/adjudicated applications by years

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Received applications	1.342 1 %	9.897 4 %	20.578 8 %	20.376 8 %	80.756 32 %	40.530 16 %	38.186 15 %	42.971 17 %	254.636
Adjudicated applications*	4 0 %	4.924 2 %	10.926 5 %	15.369 7 %	16.089 8 %	89.650 42 %	35.370 17 %	39.469 19 %	211.801
Total ratio	0 %	50 %	53 %	75 %	20 %	221 %	93 %	92 %	83,2 %

* There may be a little change, compared to the previous statistics, in the examination ratio of the received applications as the file is closed in case of an admissibility decision on administrative grounds and reopened upon the acceptance of the challenge to the inadmissibility decision.
** The coverage ratio pertaining to the applications lodged in 2016, excluding those lodged within the scope of the state of emergency, is 85 %.
*** The coverage ratio in 2017, excluding 72,134 cases found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Commission for the Examination of the Proceedings under the State of Emergency, is 90 %.

Table 2: Number of pending individual applications*

2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
6	61	168	752	2.540	7.911	31.397
0 %	0,1 %	0,4 %	1,8 %	5,9 %	18,5 %	73,3 %

* Number of pending applications by years as of 31.12.2019

Total pendign applications: **42.835**

Total applications : **254.636**

Pending applications / Total applications: **16,8 %**

Table 3 : Adjudicated applications by judgment type

Total: 211.801



* Rejection, strike-out, and closing of applications.

** There may be a little change, compared to the previous statistics, in the examination ratio of the received applications as the file is closed in case of an inadmissibility decision on administrative grounds and reopened upon the acceptance of the challenge to the inadmissibility decision.

Table 4: Ratio of violation judgments

Based on the adjudicated cases

Including cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**

4,0%

Judgments finding a violation : **8.369**
Adjudicated : **211.801**

Number of cases finding aviolation (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time

2,9%

Judgments finding a violation : **5.990**
Adjudicated : **209.422**

Number of cases finding a violation (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including joinder of applications)*

Excluding cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**

6,0%

Judgments finding a violation: **8.369**
Adjudicated: **139.667**

Number of fcases finding a violation (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

4,4%

Judgments finding a violation : **8.369**
Adjudicated: **139.667**

Number of fcases finding a violation (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including joinder of applications)*

Based on the cases examined as to the merits

93,4%

Judgments finding a violation: **8.038**
Examined on the merits : **8.533**

Number of cases finding a violation (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

91,0%

Judgments finding a violation: **5.699**
Examined on the merits : **6.194**

Number of cases finding a violation (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including joinder of applications)*

* In the calculations excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time the number of cases finding a violation of the right to a trial within a reasonable time (2.339) is extracted from the total number of adjudicated cases.
** 72.134 cases found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Commission for the Examination of the Proceedings under the State of Emergency.

Table 5 : Number of individual applications in which at least one right was found to have been violated (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)



Table 6 : Number of individual applications in which no violation was found* (including th right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)



* Cases which were found admissible but where no violation was found as a result of the examination on the merits.

Table 7: Number of individual applications examined as to the merits (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)



TOTAL: 8.965

Tablo 8: Number of individual applications in which at least one right was found to have been violated (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including joinder of applications)



TOTAL : 5.990

Table 9: Number of individual applications examined as to the merits (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including joinder of applications)



TOTAL : 6.529

Table 10: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*



* More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.

Tablo 11: Judgments finding a violation by years (Based on rights and freedoms)(including the rigth to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

Total: **8.583**



*More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.

Table 12: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including the joinder of applications)*



*More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.

Table 13: Judgments finding a violation by years (based on rights and freedoms) (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including the joinder of applications*



Total :

6.204

*More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.

Tablo 14: Judgments finding a violation of the right to a fair trial based on safeguards (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

Total: **5.309**



*More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.

** Other: Right of self-defence, right of effective participation in the trial, manifestly erroneous judgment, right not to be tried or punished twice for the same offence, right to execution of the judgments/decisions, and etc.

Table 15: Judgments finding a violation of the right to a fair trial by years (based on safeguards)(including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

Total: **5.309**



*More than one guarantee of the right to a fair trial may be found to have been violated.

Tablo 16: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time, excluding joinder of applications)*



**More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application. Calculated only with regard to adjudicated cases without the consideration of joinder of applications.

Table 17: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

Total: **1.850**



*More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application. Calculated only with regard to adjudicated cases without the consideration of joinder of applications.