



I. GENERAL STATISTICS

Table 1: Number of received/adjudicated applications by years

Table 2: Number of pending individual applications

Table 3: Adjudicated applications by judgment type

II. STATISTICS AS TO THE MERITS

A. STATISTICS ON THE MERITS BASED ON ADJUDICATED CASES

Table 4: Ratio of violation judgments

Table 5: Number of individual applications in which at least one right was found to have been violated (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)

Table 6: Number of individual applications in which no violation was found (including th right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)

Table 7: Number of individual applications examined as to the merits (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications) Table 8:

Number of individual applications in which at least one right was found to have been violated (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including joinder of applications)

Table 9: Number of individual applications examined as to the merits (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including joinder of applications)

B. VIOLATION STATISTICS BY RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

1. Including Joinder of Applications

Table 10: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)

Table 11: Judgments finding a violation by years (based on rights and freedoms) (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications) Table 12: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including the joinder of applications)

Table 13: Judgments finding a violation by years (based on rights and freedoms) (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including the joinder of applications)

Table 14: Judgments finding a violation of the right to a fair trial based on safeguards (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)

Table 15: Judgments finding a violation of the right to a fair trial by years (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)

2. Excluding Joinder of Applications

Table 16: Judgments finding a violation by rights (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time, excluding joinder of applications)

Table 17: Judgments finding a violation by Rrghts (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)



Table 1: Number of received/adjudicated applications by years

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Received applications	1.342 1 %	9.897 4 %	20.578 8 %	20.376 8 %	80.756 32 %	40.530 16 %	38.186 15 %	42.971 17 %	254.636
Adjudicated applications*	4 0%	4.924 2 %	10.926 5 %	15.369 7%	16.089 8 %	89.650 42 %	35.370 17 %	39.469 19 %	211.801
Total ratio	0 %	50 %	53 %	<i>75</i> %	20 %	221 %	93 %	92 %	83,2 %

^{*} There may be a little change, compared to the previous statistics, in the examination ratio of the received applications as the file is closed in case of an admissibility decision on administrative grounds and reopened upon the acceptance of the challenge to the inadmissibility decision.

^{**} The coverage ratio pertaining to the applications lodged in 2016, excluding those lodged within the scope of the state of emergency, is 85 %.

^{***} The coverage ratio in 2017, excluding 72,134 cases found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Commission for the Examination of the Proceedings under the State of Emergency, is 90 %.



Table 2: Number of pending individual applications*

2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
6	61	168	752	2.540	7.911	31.397
0 %	0,1 %	0,4 %	1,8 %	5,9 %	18,5 %	73,3 %

^{*} Number of pending applications by years as of 31.12.2019


Total pendign applications: 42.835

Total applications : 254.636

Pending applications / Total applications: 16,8 %



Table 3: Adjudicated applications by judgment type



^{*} Rejection, strike-out, and closing of applications.

^{**} There may be a little change, compared to the previous statistics, in the examination ratio of the received applications as the file is closed in case of an inadmissibility decision on administrative grounds and reopened upon the acceptance of the challenge to the inadmissibility decision.



Table 4: Ratio of violation judgments

Based on the adjudicated cases

Based on the cases examined as to the merits

Including cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**

4,0%

Judgments finding a violation : 8.369

2,9% Adjudicated: 211.801

> including the right to a trial within a aviolation time

Judgments finding a violation : 5.990

Adjudicated: 209.422

finding a violation Number of cases including joinder reasonable time of applications)* (excluding the right to a trial within a

Excluding cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**

6,0%

Judgments finding a violation: 8.369 Adjudicated: 139.667

4,4%

Judgments finding a violation: 8.369 Adjudicated: 139.667

> **Number of fcases finding** violation (excluding the right to a trial within a

93,4%

Judgments finding a violation: 8.038

Examined on the merits: 8.533 Judgments finding a violation: 5.699

91,0%

Examined on the merits: 6.194

trial within a reasonable time and violation (including the right to a Number of cases finding a oinder of applications)

a reasonable time, including joinder Number of cases finding a violation (excluding the right to a trial within of applications)*

trial within a reasonable time and

oinder of applications)*

violation (including the right to a

Number of fcases finding a

In the calculations excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time the number of cases finding a violation of the right to a trial within a reasonable time (2.339) is extracted from the total number of adjudicated cases.

^{** 72.134} cases found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Commission for the Examination of the Proceedings under the State of Emergency.



Table 5: Number of individual applications in which at least one right was found to have been violated (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)








Table 6: Number of individual applications in which no violation was found* (including th right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)



^{*} Cases which were found admissible but where no violation was found as a result of the examination on the merits.




Table 7: Number of individual applications examined as to the merits (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)



TOTAL: 8.965




Table 8: Number of individual applications in which at least one right was found to have been violated (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including joinder of applications)



TOTAL: 5.990



Table 9: Number of individual applications examined as to the merits (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including joinder of applications)



TOTAL: 6.529

Table 10: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

T.C.

TATALISM MATHEMEN

4.357 50,8 %

Total: **8.583**

	2.650	570	224	181	172	107	103	59	53	44	22	12	11	8	6	3	1
	30,9 %	6,6 %	2,6%	2,1 %	2,0 %	1,2 %	1,2 %	0,7 %	0,6 %	0,5 %	0,3 %	0,1 %	0,1%	0,1 %	0,1 %	0%	0 %
Right to a fair trial	Right to property	Freedom of expression	Right to respect for private and family life	Prohibition of ill- treatment	Right to personal liberty and security	Prohibition of discrimination	Right to life	Freedom of organisation	Right to hold meetings and demonstration marches	Right to protect one's corporeal and spiritual existence	Presumption of Innocence	Right to an effective remedy	Principle of legality in crimes and punishment	Freedom of religion and conscience	Right to elect, to be elected for election and to engage in political activites	Right to education	Right to an individual application

^{*} More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.



Table 11: Judgments finding a violation by years (Based on rights and freedoms)(including the rigth to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

Total: **8.583**




Table 12: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including the joinder of applications)*

1.978 31,9 %

Right to a fair trial

T.C.


Total: 6.204

2.650	570	224	181	172	107	103	59	53	44	22	12	11	8	6	3	1
42,7 %	9,2 %	3,6 %	2,9 %	2,8 %	1,7 %	1,7 %	1 %	0,9 %	0,7 %	0,4 %	0,2 %	0,2 %	0,1 %	0,1 %	0%	0 %
Right to property	Freedom of expression	Right to respect for private and family life	Prohibiton of ill- treatment	Right to personal liberty and security	Prohibition of discrimination	Right to life	Freedom of organisation	TRight to hold meetings and demonstration marches	Right to protect one's corporeal and spiritual existence	Presumption of innocence	Right to an effective remedy	Principle of legality in crimes and punishment	Freedom of religion and conscience	Right to elect, to be elected and to engage in political activities	Right to education	

application



Table 13: Judgments finding a violation by years (based on rights and freedoms) (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, including the joinder of applications*



^{*}More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.


Total:

6.204



Tablo 14: Judgments finding a violation of the right to a fair trial based on safeguards (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

Total: **5.309**



reasonable time.

^{*}More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application.

^{**} Other: Right of self-defence, right of effective participation in the trial, manifestly erroneous judgment, right not to be tried or punished twice for the same offence, right to execution of the judgments/decisions, and etc.



Table 15: Judgments finding a violation of the right to a fair trial by years (based on safevards)(including the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*

Total: **5.309**




Table 16: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (including the right to a trial within a reasonable time, excluding joinder of applications)*



2.336

65%													То	tal: 3	.592			
	411 11,4 %	175 4,9 %	159 4,4 %	138 3,8 %	96 2,7 %	89 2,5 %	41 1,1 %	42 1,2 %	36 1,0 %	20 0,6 %	12 0,3 %	10 0,3 %	10 0,3 %	6 0,2 %	7 0 %	3 0,1 %	1 0 %	
light to a fair trial	ight to property	Right to respect for private and family life	Freedom of expression	Right to personal liberty and security	Prohibition of ill-treatment	Right to life	Freedom of organisation	Right to protect one's corporeal and spiritual existence	Right to hold meetings and demonstration marches	Presumption of innocence	Prohibition of discrimination	Principle of legality in crimes and punishment	Right to an effective remedy	Right to elect, to be elected and to engage in political activities	Freedom of religion and conscience	Right to education	Right to an individual application	

^{**}More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application. Calculated only with regard to adjudicated cases without the consideration of joinder of applications.

Table 17: Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms (excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time and joinder of applications)*



Total: 1.850

594	411	175	159	138	96	89	41	42	36	20	12	10	10	6	7	3	1
32,1 %	22,2%	9,5 %	8,6%	7,5%	5,2 %	4,8%	2,2 %	2,3 %	1,9%	1,1%	0,6 %	0,5%	0,5%	0,3 %	0,4%	0,2 %	0,1%
Right to a fair trial	Right to property	Right to respect for private and family life	Freedom of expression	Right to personal liberty and security	Prohibition of ill-treatment	Right to life	Freedom of organisation	Right to protect one's corporeal and spiritual existence	Right to hold meetings and demonstration marches	Presumption of innocence	Prohibition of discrimination	Principle of legality in crimes and punishment	Right to an effective remedy	Right to elect, to be elected and to engage in political activities	Freedom of religion and conscience	education right	Right to an individual application

^{*}More than one right may be found to have been violated in one application. Calculated only with regard to adjudicated cases without the consideration of joinder of applications.